

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
8

9 Memphis Invest, GP,

10 Plaintiff

11 v.

12 Andrew Waite et al.,

13 Defendants  
14

Case No.: 2:13-cv-01282-JAD-NJK

**Order Adopting Report and  
Recommendation [Doc. 35]  
and Denying Motion for Preliminary  
Injunction [Doc. 28]**

15 Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe's December 4, 2013, Report and  
16 Recommendation regarding Plaintiff Memphis Invest, GP's Emergency Motion for Protective Order,  
17 which requests, in the alternative, a preliminary injunction against Defendant Andrew Waite. Doc.  
18 35; Doc. 28. Judge Koppe recommended denying the preliminary injunction because "Plaintiff has  
19 not met at least two of the requisite four preliminary injunction factors." Doc. 31 at 7. Objections  
20 were due by December 21, 2013. Neither Memphis Invest nor any defendant objected to Judge  
21 Koppe's recommendation. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and  
22 recommendation unless objections are filed." *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D.  
23 Ariz. 2003); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328  
24 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

1 Accordingly, and with good cause appearing,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Judge Koppe's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 35] is  
3 **ADOPTED.**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Memphis Invest's Emergency Motion for Protective  
5 Order [Doc. 28] is **DENIED** consistent with this Order and Judge Koppe's Report and  
6 Recommendation.

7 DATED February 11, 2014.

8  
9  
10   
11 Jennifer A. Dorsey  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28